WILMER, CUTLER & PICKERINGECEIVED

2445 M STREET, N.W. COMMISSION MAIL ROOMBALTIMORE, MD 21202
HINGTON, D.C. 20037-1420

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037-1420

May 9, 1997

FACSIMILE (410) 986-2828

ROGER M. WITTEN DIRECT LINE (202) 663-6170 TELEPHONE (202) 663-6000 FACSIMILE (202) 663-6363

5 00 PM 197 4 CARLTON GARDENS LONDON SWIY 5AA TELEPHONE OII (44171) 839-4466 FACSIMILE OII (44171) 839-3537

> RUE DE LA LOI 15 WETSTRAAT B-1040 BRUSSELS TELEPHONE OII (322) 231-0903 FACSIMILE OII (322) 230-4322

FRIEDRICHSTRASSE 95 D-10117 BERLIN TELEPHONE OII (4930) 2022-6400 FACSIMILE 011 (4930) 2022-6500

BY HAND

Ms. Nancy E. Bell Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

MUR 4594 - China Airlines, Ltd.

Dear Ms. Bell:

This letter is in response to your informal requests for information made by phone and letter on May 2, 1997. Please note that by responding to your requests, we do not waive our position that the claim raised in this MUR is time-barred. You have asked two questions: first, regarding a statement in our February 14, 1997 submission to the Commission, you seek to know (a) the name of the hotel in Honolulu owned and managed by China Airlines, Ltd. ("CAL") and (b) whether the employees seconded by CAL were "transferred or merely referred" to Longevity International Enterprises Corporation ("Longevity"). Second, you ask for a specific explanation of any direct or indirect relationship between CAL and Longevity, owner of the Chinatown Cultural Plaza ("Cultural Plaza").

As to subpart (a) of your first question, CAL owns the Holiday Inn, Waikiki. This property, which CAL acquired in 1973, was known until August 23, 1994 as the Hawaii Dynasty Hotel.

As to subpart (b) of your first question, it is not clear to us what distinction you seek to draw between "transferred" and "merely referred." The circumstances surrounding the secondment were as follows: CAL was the largest Taiwan-based corporation doing business in Honolulu. strong commitment to the Chinese-American community there and was interested in seeing the Cultural Plaza successfully developed to serve that community. After Longevity assumed ownership of the faltering Cultural Plaza, CAL offered to second some of its experienced employees to Longevity to aid in management of the property. The seconded employees became full employees of Longevity and were paid, supervised, and directed by Longevity.

Ms. Nancy E. Bell May 9, 1997 Page 2

They did not report to CAL or otherwise retain any employeeemployer relationship with CAL while working for Longevity. Most of the seconded employees eventually returned to work for CAL, typically after three or four years with Longevity. Approximately ten employees were seconded to Longevity over the years.

In response to your second question, there is no and never has been any direct or indirect relationship between CAL and Longevity. They have always been separate corporations. CAL has never had any direct or indirect ownership or other financial interest in Longevity, and Longevity has never had any direct or indirect ownership or other financial interest in CAL. While there never has been any direct or indirect corporate relationship between CAL and Longevity, some of Longevity's original shareholders and directors held senior positions in CAL.

Finally, as stated in our February 14, 1997 letter, the Commission should take no further action against CAL since it has and had no ownership or other financial interest in the Cultural Plaza and no involvement in the corporate decisions or functioning of Longevity or in the leasing of space within the Cultural Plaza. Further, since the alleged violations are time barred, there is no evidence the space in question was used in connection with an election, and the rental amount charged was within the range of reasonable market rates, the Commission should dismiss the entire matter for lack of any cognizable claim.

Sincerely,

Roger M. Witten Jeffrey N. Shane Margaret L. Ackerley

Counsel for Respondent, China Airlines, Ltd.